| | Regional Response Team (RRT) Annual Report | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|--------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | Region: | Region | 4 | | Calendar | Year: | 2024 | | | | | |
| | EPA RRT Co-Chair: Jim Webster | | | | | | Email: | Webster.James@epa.gov | | | | | |
| | USCG RI | RT Co-Chair: | Rich Lavi | igne | | | Email: Richard.J.Lavigne@uscg.mil | | | | | | |
| EF | PA RRT C | oordinator: | Alex Kul | akowski | | | Email: | Kulakowski.Alexandra@epa.gov | | | | | |
| USC | CG RRT C | oordinator: | Simon Ju | ıul-Hindsgaul | | | Email: | Simon.juul-hindsgaul2@uscg.mil | | | | | |
| A. | Annual | Meetings | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates | | Location | | # o | f Attendees | Websi | ite for presentations | | | | | |
| 1. | 29-30 N | 1ay 2024 | Gautier, | MS | 80 | | May 2 | 024 Regional Response Team Meeting – Region 4 Regio | nal Re | sponse 1 | <u> Team</u> | | |
| | | | | | | | (epa.g | | | | | | |
| 2. | 22-24 O | ct 2024 | Atlanta, (| Georgia | | | (cance | elled due to Hurricanes Helene & Milton) | | | | | |
| B. | Activati | ons / Notifica | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates: | See below | | Hurricanes Debby, H | | • | | | ACT | X NOT | | | |
| | Issue / Concern: | | staff to l Debby: 8 Helene: | ESF-10 Pollution response, ESF-9 and maritime search and rescue, reconstitution of the marine transportation system (MTS), support staff to RRCC/State EOCs. Debby: 8/5/24 – 8/8/24 Helene: 9/23/24 – 10/4/24 Milton: 10/7/24 – 10/13/24 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1. | Agenc | ies Involved: | USCG. F | USCG, FEMA R4/6, EPA R4, NOAA, States of Florida, Alabama, Georgia, South Carolina, North Carolina | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ions Made: | CG Dis pollution the int activat deploy | trict 7 stood up a fuon response concerns erior southeast state ion of multiple EPLO/ed to render lifesavir | II Are s in the es. T LOFR ng, po | ea Command the aftermath of the AC was rest, aircraft, floor or trecovery as | (AC) on of Hurric sponsib od respo ssistance | three separate occasions in response to the search and cane Debby (CAT1), Helene (CAT4), and Milton (CAT3) lable for managing appx. \$27M in FEMA mission assignments teams, and NSF Strike Teams along with NOAA for take, and pollution response across multiple states and CG coollution targets identified. | ndfall in nents, ingeting | n Florida ncluding and ana | a and g the llysis, | | |
| | Dates: | 9/6/24, 9/17/24 | Event: | Buxton Beach FUDS | (Forn | nerly Used De | fense Si | te) | ACT | X NOT | Г | | |
| • | Issue | / Concern: | the Dep | Substantial threat of discharge in the vicinity of the Cape Hatteras National Seashore: The National Park Service in coordination with the Department of the Interior requested an RRT IV activation to address concerns arising from the ongoing remediation at the Buxtor Beach FUDS under the Defense Environmental Restoration Program (DERP) statute (10 United States Code (USC) 2700 et seq.). | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | Agenc | ies Involved: | DOI, NP | S, USACE, NOAA, FW | S, US | SCG, USN, EPA | R4, FEN | 1A R4, State of North Carolina, Dare County, | | | | | |
| 2. | Decis | ions Made: | potent with th be a fo agencie | ial threat of discharge te ongoing FUDS rem llow-up call on 17 Se es that may be able | e at E ediat ptem to co | Buxton Beach, tion being und ober to further ntribute to th | NC. The lertaken discuss e ongoi | consultation call to address DOI's request for RRT IV act RRT consultation afforded partners the opportunity to at Buxton Beach by USACE. Following that call, it was dust USACE actions and any emergency response authorities are remediation effort. In the intervening period, USACE otential discharge. On the 17 September call, the USA | voice the cided from the initiate of the contraction of the contractio | neir cond there w the colle ed addit | cerns vould ective ional | | |

| | | membership on the significant ongoing and future actions being taken in accordance with the FUDS remediation program at the | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|---|--|--|---|---------|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | Beach site. Based on this information, and USACE's commitment to maintain transparency with a | | _ | | | | | |
| | stakeholders, along with CG Sector North Carolina's ability to continue to respond to any reports of discharge as appropriate, | | | | | | | | | | |
| | RRT IV closed the case with no additional action taken. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates | ates: 08/24 Event: SeaPort Manatee Spill ACT NOT | | | | | | | | | |
| | | • | Pollution | | ACI | ľ | 101 p | | | | |
| | | • | | | | | | | | | |
| 3. | Agenci | ies Involved: | | OAA, SeaPort Manatee, FDEP | w+ N 1 a a | | hasia | | | | |
| э. | | | | ector St Petersburg accessed the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund to respond to a discharge of crude oil in Po | | | basin | | | | |
| | Decis | ions Made: | | total response cost of \$37k. Boom was deployed, and 25 gallons of heavy fuel oil were recovered. The so | | | _ | | | | |
| | | | | ined to be coming from a broken pipe in the port. The contents of this pipe matched to oil spill samples | | TOITI | d | | | | |
| | Dotos | 00/24 | | on incident in SeaPort Manatee the previous year that resulted in 3500 gallons of heavy fuel oil recovere | | v 1 | NOT | | | | |
| | Dates: | 09/24- | Event: | Hurricane Helene | ACI | ^ | NOI | | | | |
| | | Ongoing | EDA Dogi | on A was given 14 FENAN Mission Assignments /F FCF 10 and 0 FCF 3). | | | | | | | |
| | | | _ | on 4 was given 14 FEMA Mission Assignments (5 ESF-10 and 9 ESF-3): | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ESF-3 Federal Operational Support (FOS)/SURGE for Liaison and RRC staffing | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ESF-3 FOS for SMEs for Damage Assessments in North Carolina | | | | | | | |
| | | | ESF-3 Direct Federal Assistance (DFA) for Mobile Water Lab to North Carolina ESF-3 DFA for SME in TN | | | | | | | | |
| | Issue / Concern: | | | ESF-3 FOS Mobile Water Lab to Florida | | | | | | | |
| | | | ESF-3 DFA Mobile Water Lab to Florida | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ESF-3 FOS Pre-stage Mobile Water Lab | | | | | | | | |
| | | | ESF-3 DFA SME staffing | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ESF-3 DFA for Water and Wastewater Infrastructure Assessment Teams in NC | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ESF-10 FOS/SURGE for staffing RRCC, REOC, and response locations. | | | | | | | |
| 4. | | | ESF-10 DFA Hazard Assessment Response Team (HART) in NC | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ESF-10 DFA HAZMAT Technical Specialists for Debris Disposal and Mgmt. Operations in TN | | | | | | | |
| | | | | ESF-10 DFA for Landfill Strike Teams in FL | | | | | | | |
| | | | ESF-10 F | OS for Staffing response location for TN support | | | | | | | |
| | Agenci | ies Involved: | | uperfund Emergency Management Division (SEMD), Land, Chemicals and Redevelopment Division (LCR | D), Wat | er D | ivision | | | | |
| | | | | ober 3, EPA R4 SEMD deployed four Landfill Strike Teams (LST) to support debris disposal and managem | | Water Division The sites operation Placed in DDMSs PAR4 SEMD Placed in DDMSs Placed in DDMSs PAR4 SEMD Placed in DDMSs Placed in DDMSs Placed in DDMSs PAR4 SEMD Placed in DDMSs Placed in DDMSs Placed | | | | | |
| | | | in Floric | da. The LST conducted site visits to ensure that hazardous materials and other prohibited items were no | nagement sites operatio vere not placed in DDMS | DDMSs. | | | | | |
| | | | The LST | stood down through Hurricane Milton and continued operations after the storm passed. On October 2 | , EPA R | 4 SEI | MD | | | | |
| | | | deployed Hazmat Assessment/Mitigation Teams for orphan container recovery operations in western NC with Emergency and | | | | | | | | |
| | Decis | ions Made: | Rapid Response Services contractors. The Teams recovered 1,611 containers (as of January 8, 2025). The mission assignment for | | | | | | | | |
| | | | these o | perations currently expires on March 28, 2025. The EPA Water Division Subject Matter Experts perform | ed ESF- | 3 da | mage | | | | |
| | | | assessm | nents across North Carolina with the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers. The EPA deployed two Mobile Wate | r Labor | atori | es to | | | | |
| | | | | Carolina that received and analyzed a total of 1,561 samples for Total Coliform/E. coli. A Mission Assignn | | | | | | | |
| | | | to provi | ide Tennessee with Hazardous Materials Technical Specialist to support and augment debris disposal an | id mana | igen | ient | | | | |

| | | tions. The EPA and its contractors continue to provide personnel for technical support at Douglas Lake, the mission | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|---|--------------------------------------|-------|---------|----|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | assignment is currently set to end June 16, 2025. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates: 10/24-11/24 | Event: Hurricane Milton | ACT | Χ | NOT | | | | | | |
| | | EPA Region 4 was given four FEMA Mission Assignments: | | | | | | | | | |
| | | ESF-3 Federal Operational Support (FOS)/SURGE for SME to FL EOC | | | | | | | | | |
| | Issue / Concern: | ESF-10 FOS/SURGE for Liaison and RRC staffing | | | | | | | | | |
| 5. | | ESF-10 Direct Federal Assistance (DFA) Landfill Strike Teams for FL | | | | | | | | | |
| Э. | | ESF-10 DFA HazMat Assessment Teams for FL | | | | | | | | | |
| | Agencies Involved: | EPA R4 Superfund Emergency Management Division (SEMD), Land, Chemicals and Redevelopment Division (LCR | D), Wat | ter D | ivision | | | | | | |
| | | On October 12, the Landfill Strike Teams resumed operations in Florida at DDMS sites after Hurricane Milton | Hurricane Milton's Landfall. Two On- | | | | | | | | |
| | Decisions Made: | Scene Coordinator-led Hazard Assessment and Response Teams assessed Florida identified facilities in the impact area as prioritized | | | | | | | | | |
| | | by the State. | | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates: 09/24-10/24 | Event: BioLab Fire | ACT | | NOT | Χ | | | | | |
| | EPA Region 4 worked as part of Unified Command with Rockdale County, the Georgia Environmental Protection Division and E | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Issue / Concern: | to respond to the fire and subsequent cleanup at the BioLab pool chemical manufacturing facility in Conyers, Ga. The EPA performed | | | | | | | | | |
| 6. | | air monitoring for the duration of the emergency response for the safety of both workers and the public. | | | | | | | | | |
| 0. | Agencies Involved: | EPA Region 4, Rockdale County, the Georgia Environmental Protection Division | | | | | | | | | |
| | | The EPA used stationary and roving monitoring instruments to monitor the air quality for chlorine and related compounds around | | | | | | | | | |
| | Decisions Made: | the BioLab facility and provided Rockdale County with the results to inform Rockdale County's public. The ai | monit | corin | g resul | ts | | | | | |
| | | were posted to: https://www.epa.gov/ga/conyers-ga-biolab-fire . | | | | | | | | | |

| C. | RRT Exercises | | | | | | | | | |
|----|----------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Dates: | 6/12/24 – | Event: | Lowcountry Area Committee PREP FSE | | | | | | |
| | | 6/13/24 | | | | | | | | |
| | Agencies Involved: | USCG, EPA R4, Stat | e of Soutl | n Carolina, Charleston County, Ingevity, multiple other federal, state, local, NGO partners. | | | | | | |
| 1. | Summary of exercise: | On June 12, 2024, at 4:00pm, Ingevity suffers a complete structural failure of Aboveground Storage Tank (AST) 306, discharging its entire contents of 590,000 gallons of Tall Oil (WCD for facility). Approximately 500,000 gallons of product made it into the | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates: | 9/24/24 - 9/25/24 | Event: | Coastal Georgia EV Hazmat Shipboard Response PREP FE | | | | | | |
| | Agencies Involved: | USCG, EPA R4, State of Georgia, American Ro-Ro (ARC), multiple other federal, state, local, NGO partners. | | | | | | | | |
| 2. | Summary of exercise: | included worksho Team (IMAT) Trair | Response to a roll on roll off (RORO) shipboard fire involving lithium-ion batteries at Colonel's Terminal, Brunswick, GA. Exercise included workshops for ion battery hazards, shipboard firefighting, HazSub Annex familiarization, CG Incident Management Assist Team (IMAT) Training, ship walkthrough with first responders on a vessel, Geographical Response Strategies (GRS) validations, and an incident command post. | | | | | | | |

| | Dates: | Event: | |
|----|----------------------|--------|--|
| | Agencies Involved: | | |
| 3. | | | |
| | Summary of exercise: | | |
| | | | |

| O. Changes in RRT Leadership | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Outgoing Personnel | Incoming personnel | | | | | | | |
| LCDR Gabrielle Zambrana | Simon Juul-Hindsgaul (RRT Coordinator) | | | | | | | |
| Jed Hewitt | Alexandra Kulakowski (RRT Coordinator) | | | | | | | |
| Buck McCloud | Robert Blair | | | | | | | |
| | LCDR Gabrielle Zambrana Jed Hewitt | | | | | | | |

E. Best Practices and Lessons Learned by the RRT (which may help other RRTs)

F. Federal, State, Local, and Tribal Planning and Coordination Efforts

Region 4 identified Robert Blair of Kentucky, as the new leader to help coordinate RRT correspondence between Region 4 States.

G. Challenges and Issues (and Operational Requirements Which May Require NRT Attention)

- 1. **Interoperability remains a challenge**: during an interagency response, the responder tools to facilitate document collaboration and user interface across response applications are significantly degraded or in some cases blocked when going from .mil to .gov or state/NGOs. *Highly recommend RRTs and NRT continue to prioritize/identify broadly accessible multipurpose common operating platforms in all preparedness and planning efforts.*
- 2. **Frequency of RRT meetings**: over the past several years, the fiscal environment, federal budgetary process, and active hurricane seasons in the Southeastern United States and Caribbean Basin, have made the planning and execution of semi-annual RRT meetings extremely difficult for our federal, state, and local partners. And the advances in virtual meeting technology, if not a direct replacement for in-person coordination and collaboration, have increased the overall connectivity amongst the RRT membership throughout the year. *Recommend the NRT review and reconsider the current requirement for semi-annual meetings in favor of an annual meeting periodicity requirement.*

| | Regional Response Team (RRT) Annual Report | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---|---|---|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Region: | Caribbean | Calendar | Year: | 2024 | | | | |
| EPA RRT Co-Chair: Shawna Hoppe | | | | Email: Hoppe.shawna@epa.gov | | | | | |
| | USCG RRT Co-Chair: | Richard Lavigne | | Email: | Richard.J.Lavigne@uscg.mil | | | | |
| EI | PA RRT Coordinator: | Eric Daly | | Email: | Daly.eric@epa.gov | | | | |
| US | CG RRT Coordinator: | Simon Juul-Hindsgaul | | Email: | Simon.juul-hindsgaul2@uscg.mil | | | | |
| E. | Annual Meetings | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates | Location | # of Attendees | Websi | ite for presentations | | | | |
| 3. | 23-25 Jan 2024 | USCG Rio Bayamon Housing | 59 | Site Pr | rofile - CRRT January 2024 Meeting | | | | |
| | | Conference Room | | | | | | | |
| 4. | 13-14 Nov 2024 | FEMA Training Center | 70 | Site Pr | rofile - CRRT November 2024 Meeting - NRT | | | | |
| | | St. Croix, USVI | | | | | | | |
| F. | Activations / Notifica | | | | | | | | |
| | Dates: 07/24 - 12/24 | Event: S/V Obsession | | | ACT NOT X | | | | |
| ı | Issue / Concern: | Pollution, harm to protected s | Pollution, harm to protected species and critical habitat | | | | | | |
| | Agencies Involved: | USCG, EPA, NOAA, DOI, FWS, PR DRNA | | | | | | | |
| 7. | Decisions Made: | and critical habitats. RRT fac supported CG Sector San Ju extraction of 1500 gallons of | A 72' sailing vessel grounded on Culebra Island reef, discharging oil and causing an impact to various protected plant, animal species, and critical habitats. RRT facilitated interagency coordination to ensure alignment amongst federal and territorial partners and supported CG Sector San Juan incident management team (SSJ IMT) with overseeing the safe removal of the vessel, proper extraction of 1500 gallons of diesel and all engine oil. The CG SSJ IMT accessed the Oil Spill Liability Trust Fund for under \$3 million ensuring compliance with federal and environmental protection standards and safe removal of the vessel from the sensitive area. | | | | | | |
| | Dates: 02/24 - Ongoing | Event: Virgina Islands Water a | and Power Autho | rity (VI V | VAPA) Tank #11 Spill ACT NOT X | | | | |
| | Issue / Concern: | Pollution | | | | | | | |
| | Agencies Involved: | USCG, USVI, NOAA, FWS, DOI, VITEMA, VI WAPA, VI DPRNR | | | | | | | |
| 8. | Decisions Made: | responders and implementation CG SSJ IMT accessed the Oil Sof oil and release of hazardou | on of administrated pill Liability Trust substances into | tive dire Fund fo the env | cners and stakeholders to ensure alignment amongst federal and territorial ctives focused on enforcing cost-effective long-term response efforts. The r management cost, containment, and monitoring. The threat of discharge vironment was satisfactorily mitigated. The SSJ IMT is in the process of horities for long-term maintenance and oversight. | | | | |
| | Dates: 06/24 | Event: Suiza Dairy Anhydrous | Ammonia Releas | e, San Ju | uan, Puerto Rico ACT NOT X | | | | |
| 9. | ease at the Suiza Dairy facility in San Juan, PR. Workers at the dairy had been culty accessing the facility. Access was eventually granted to facility personnel, uly and inspected the ammonia system. Significant ammonia readings were e the remaining ammonia from the system. | | | | | | | | |
| | Agencies Involved: | | - 0 | | , | | | | |
| | Decisions Made: | | er to remove the | ammoni | a and is coordinating with the facility on the work plan. | | | | |

| | Dates: | 09/24 | ent: Guayanilla PR-127, Guayanilla, Puerto Rico | A | CT NOT | ГХ |
|-----|--------|---------------|---|--|-----------------|--------|
| | | | ere has been ongoing visible oil presence observed inside of man | holes located on the road (PR-127) outside of | the Genera- | -Costa |
| | | | r Plan in Guayanilla, Puerto Rico. These manholes are not on the G ϵ | enera property but are adjacent to the facility. | EPA has prev | iously |
| | | | talled an inflatable plug at Genera's property to stop the oil from | entering the manhole. This plug has failed. EP | A initially pro | vided |
| | | | chnical assistance in April 2013 to the United States Coast Guard (| USCG) under a Pollution Removal Funding Aut | horization (F | PRFA). |
| 10. | Issue | / Concern: | e site name was Mystery Spill. The USCG passed the lead to EPA in | July 2013. EPA continued this investigation un | der the site i | name, |
| 10. | | | ayanilla Bay Oil Spill. EPA performed additional work in 2020 under | the site name, Guayanilla Oil. The most recent | incident occ | curred |
| | | | September 20, 2024. Around 4,500 gallons of oily water was rem | , | | _ |
| | | | t required by EPA, Genera will continue to pump the manholes of | out, to stop the immediate threat to a Guayar | nilla Bay, unt | il EPA |
| | | | tigation efforts begin. The current 2025 project by EPA is under a r | new FPN and the site name is Guayanilla PR-12 | .7. | |
| | Agenc | ies Involved: | A, USCG, DNER | | | |
| | Decis | ions Made: | SC has opened the fund and will make a site visit in March 2025 to | determine the mitigation path forward for th | e site. | |

| G. | . RRT Exercises – No CRRT exercises during the period of this report. | | | | | | | | |
|----|---|---|-------|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | Dates: | E | vent: | | | · | | | |
| 4. | Agencies Involved: | | | | | | | | |
| | Summary of exercise: | | | | • | | | | |
| Н. | . Changes in RRT Leadership | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

AgencyOutgoing PersonnelIncoming personnel4) USCG District 7Simon Juul-Hindsgaul (RRT Coordinator)5) US EPA CRRT Co-ChairDoug KodamaShawna Hoppe6) US EPA CRRT CoordinatorSteve TouwEric Daly

E. Best Practices and Lessons Learned by the RRT (which may help other RRTs)

Lithium-Ion batteries remain among the most significant threats in the maritime FOSC zone.

G. Federal, State, and Local Planning and Coordination Efforts

EPA met with FEMA and PR Commonwealth agencies (PREMB, DNER, DOH) at the PREMB EOC in July 2024. The meeting was set up to discuss the process for requests for federal support during a declared disaster and exchange ideas on pre-disaster and post-disaster coordination among our agencies. The meeting was very productive as several areas were identified where our agencies can work forward for improve our coordination. These include the development and sharing of agency fact sheets regarding roles and capabilities, diagramming of process for making requests and key contacts in the process, identifying potential gaps in capabilities based on magnitude of a disaster, sharing of relevant emergency response plans and Operations Plans, review/modification of Pre-scripted mission assignment language for possible types of assistance, especially where there may be known gaps, delivering presentations from one agency to another among key personnel, which is not only to provide information but also introduce key contacts among agencies, and arranging for regular meetings among agencies, including around other meeting venues, such as semi-annual CRRT meetings and FEMA Readiness week. Next steps for this engagement will be development of an implementation plan to perform all of the work items for improved coordination identified above.

H. Challenges and Issues (and Operational Requirements Which May Require NRT Attention)